



FILE:

LIN 02,079 52972

Office: NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

JAN 0 4 2005

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the

Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), as described at Section

101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(27)(C)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

PUBLIC COPY

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy **DISCUSSION:** The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center. The director granted a subsequent motion to reopen, and reaffirmed his original decision. The petition is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner is a Roman Catholic community of nuns. It seeks to classify the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(4), to perform services as a permanent member of the community. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary had been engaged continuously in a qualifying religious vocation or occupation for two full years immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

On appeal, the petitioner submitted no brief or additional evidence.

The petitioner asserts on appeal that the beneficiary has been engaged in religious work for two years preceding the filing of the petition and that the director erred in denying the petition.

The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

The petitioner has failed to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or a statement of fact in this proceeding; therefore, the appeal must be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is summarily dismissed.